

# A Class of Nonlinear Averaging Integral Operators

SANFORD S. MILLER\*

*Department of Mathematics, State University of New York, Brockport, New York 14420*

similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

PETRU T. MOCANU

*Faculty of Mathematics, Babes-Bolyai University, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania*

*Submitted by Bruce C. Berndt*

Received September 9, 1994; revised February 6, 1995

DEDICATED TO MAXWELL O. READE ON HIS EIGHTIETH BIRTHDAY

Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be the class of analytic functions defined in the unit disc  $U$ , and let  $\text{co } E$  denote the convex hull of a set  $E$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{H}$ , then an operator  $I: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  is an averaging operator if  $I[f](0) = f(0)$  and  $I[f](U) \subset \text{co } f(U)$ , for all  $f \in \mathbf{K}$ . The authors show that the operator  $I_{\beta, \gamma}[f](z) = [\gamma z^{-\gamma} \int_0^z f^\beta(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt]^{1/\beta}$  is an averaging operator on certain subsets of  $\mathbf{H}$ . © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be the class of analytic functions defined in the unit disc  $U$  and let  $\text{co } E$  denote the convex hull of a set  $E$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . In [2] the authors introduced the concept of an *averaging operator defined on a set*  $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{H}$ . This is an operator  $I: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  that satisfies  $I[f](0) = f(0)$  and

$$I[f](U) \subset \text{co } f(U), \quad (1)$$

for all  $f \in \mathbf{K}$ . Also in [2] the authors determined conditions for, and gave

\* The first author acknowledges support received from the International Research and Exchange Board (IREX), with funds provided by the United States Information Agency.

examples of, linear integral operators that are also averaging operators. One such example is the operator

$$I_\gamma[f](z) \equiv \gamma z^{-\gamma} \int_0^z f(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt, \quad (2)$$

where  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$ ; it is shown [2, Example 2] that  $I_\gamma$  satisfies (1) for  $f \in \mathbf{H}$  and hence is an averaging operator on  $\mathbf{H}$ .

In this short note we describe a class of nonlinear integral operators that are also averaging operators. The operators are a generalization of the operator given in (2) and are of the form

$$I_{\beta,\gamma}[f](z) \equiv \left[ \gamma z^{-\gamma} \int_0^z f^\beta(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt \right]^{1/\beta}. \quad (3)$$

In order to show that these operators are averaging operators on certain subsets of  $\mathbf{H}$  we need to employ a different proof technique from that used in the aforementioned linear case. The proof depends on several lemmas involving differential subordinations plus a subordination chain argument.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

Since subordination is crucial to our proof, we first restate its definition (in a restricted sense). Let  $f$  and  $F$  be analytic in  $U$ . The function  $f$  is *subordinate to*  $F$ , written  $f < F$  or  $f(z) < F(z)$ , if  $F$  is univalent,  $f(0) = F(0)$  and  $f(U) \subset F(U)$ .

We also need the definition of a *convex* function; this is a function  $f \in \mathbf{H}$  which is univalent and for which  $f(U)$  is a convex domain.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $p$  be analytic in  $U$  and let  $h$  be convex on  $\overline{U}$ , with  $p(0) = h(0)$ . If  $p$  is not subordinate to  $h$ , then there exist points  $z_0 \in U$  and  $\zeta_0 \in \partial U$ , and an  $m \geq 1$  for which  $p(|z| < |z_0|) \subset h(U)$ ,*

- (i)  $p(z_0) = h(\zeta_0)$  and
- (ii)  $z_0 p'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 h'(\zeta_0)$ .

A more general form of this result appears as Lemma 1 in [1].

LEMMA 2 [2, Lemma 2]. *Let  $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{H}$  and let an operator  $I: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  satisfy  $I[f](0) = f(0)$  for all  $f \in \mathbf{K}$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $I$  to be an averaging operator on  $\mathbf{K}$  is that*

$$(f \in \mathbf{K}, h \text{ convex, and } f < h) \Rightarrow I[f] < h.$$

The next lemma concerns subordination (or Loewner) chains. A function  $L(z, t)$ ,  $z \in U$ ,  $t \geq 0$  is a *subordination chain* if  $L(\cdot, t)$  is analytic and univalent in  $U$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $L(z, \cdot)$  is continuously differentiable on  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $z \in U$ , and  $L(z, s) < L(z, t)$  when  $0 \leq s \leq t$  [4, p. 157].

LEMMA 3 [4, p. 159]. *The function  $L(z, t) = a_1(t)z + \cdots$ , with  $a_1(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a_1(t)| = \infty$ , is a subordination chain if and only if*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[ z \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \bigg/ \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \right] > 0, \quad (4)$$

for  $z \in U$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

Before introducing the final lemma, we need to consider a special mapping from  $U$  onto a slit domain. This mapping plays a crucial role in both the lemma and the main theorem of the article.

Let  $c \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$  and let

$$N = N(c) = [|c|(1 + 2 \operatorname{Re} c)^{1/2} + \operatorname{Im} c] / \operatorname{Re} c.$$

If  $h$  is the univalent function  $h(z) = 2Nz/(1 - z^2)$  and  $b \equiv h^{-1}(c)$  then let

$$Q_c(z) \equiv h[(z + b)/(1 + \bar{b}z)] = 2N \frac{(z + b)(1 + \bar{b}z)}{(1 + \bar{b}z)^2 - (z + b)^2}, \quad (5)$$

$z \in U$ . The function  $Q_c$  is univalent in  $U$ ,  $Q_c(0) = c$ , and  $Q_c(U) = h(U)$  is the complex plane slit along the half-lines  $\operatorname{Re} w = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} w \geq N$  and  $\operatorname{Re} w = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} w \leq -N$ .

LEMMA 4 [3, Theorem 2]. *Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\beta \neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(\beta + \gamma) > 0$ , and let  $f \in \mathbf{H}$  be normalized so that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) \neq 0$ . If*

$$\beta z f'(z) / f(z) + \gamma < Q_{\beta+\gamma}(z),$$

where  $Q_c$  is defined by (5), then the function

$$G(z) \equiv J_{\beta, \gamma}[f](z) \equiv \left[ \frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z f^\beta(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt \right]^{1/\beta} \quad (6)$$

is analytic in  $U$ ,  $G(z)/z \neq 0$ , and  $\operatorname{Re}[\beta z G'(z)/G(z) + \gamma] > 0$ .

## III. MAIN RESULTS

Before we establish our main result we need to define the subsets of  $\mathbf{H}$  on which the integral operator given by (3) will be defined. Let  $\beta \geq 1$ , let  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$  and let

$$\mathbf{E}_{\beta,\gamma} \equiv \begin{cases} \left\{ f \in \mathbf{H} : f(0) = 0, f'(0) \neq 0, \beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma < Q_{\beta+\gamma} \right\}, & \beta > 1, \\ \mathbf{H}, & \beta = 1. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\beta \geq 1$  and if  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$ , then the operator  $I_{\beta,\gamma}$  defined by*

$$I_{\beta,\gamma}[f](z) = \left[ \gamma z^{-\gamma} \int_0^z f^\beta(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt \right]^{1/\beta} \quad (7)$$

*is an averaging operator on  $\mathbf{E}_{\beta,\gamma}$ . (In (7) all powers are principal values.)*

*Proof.* For  $\beta = 1$ , the operator given by (7) reduces to the operator given by (2), which is an averaging operator on  $\mathbf{E}_{1,\gamma} = \mathbf{H}$ .

Suppose  $\beta > 1$  and let  $f \in \mathbf{E}_{\beta,\gamma}$ . We will use all four of the lemmas of Section 2 to prove this case. According to Lemma 2, we can show that  $I_{\beta,\gamma}$  is an averaging operator by showing that if  $h$  is convex and  $f < h$  then  $I_{\beta,\gamma}[f] < h$ . So we assume that  $f$  is subordinate to a convex function  $h$ .

In addition, we will assume that  $h$  is convex on  $\overline{U}$ . If not we can define  $h_r(z) = h(rz)$  and  $I_{\beta,\gamma}[f_r](z) \equiv I_{\beta,\gamma}[f](rz)$ . The function  $h_r$  is convex on  $\overline{U}$  and the proof that follows will show that  $I_{\beta,\gamma}[f_r] < h_r$ . By letting  $r \rightarrow 1^-$  we obtain  $I_{\beta,\gamma}[f] < h$ .

If we let  $F = I_{\beta,\gamma}[f]$  then from (7) we obtain

$$F^\beta(z) \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right] = f^\beta(z). \quad (8)$$

By comparing (6) and (7) we obtain  $F(z) = G(z) \cdot [\gamma/(\beta + \gamma)]^{1/\beta}$ ; hence,  $\beta z F'(z)/F(z) + \gamma = \beta z G'(z)/G(z) + \gamma$ . Since  $f \in \mathbf{E}_{\beta,\gamma}$ , from Lemma 4 we obtain  $\operatorname{Re}[\beta z F'(z)/F(z) + \gamma] > 0$ . Hence  $1 + (\beta/\gamma)(zF'(z)/F(z)) \neq 0$  in  $U$  and from (8) we obtain

$$F(z) \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right]^{1/\beta} = f(z).$$

We need to show that

$$F(z) \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right]^{1/\beta} < h(z) \Rightarrow F(z) < h(z). \quad (9)$$

In order to do this we first introduce the function

$$L(z, t) \equiv h(z) \left[ 1 + t \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right]^{1/\beta}, \quad (10)$$

where  $t \geq 0$  and  $z \in U$ . We will show that  $L(z, t)$  is a subordination chain by showing that it satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3. For  $t \geq 0$  we have  $|\arg(1 + \beta t/\gamma)| < \pi/2$ , so if we set  $w(t) = (1 + \beta t/\gamma)^{1/\beta}$  with  $w(0) = 1$ , we obtain  $w(t) \neq 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |w(t)| = \infty$ . Since  $h(z) = b_1 z + \dots$ , with  $b_1 \neq 0$ , from (10) we obtain

$$L(z, t) = a_1(t)z + \dots = b_1 w(t)z + \dots$$

Hence  $a_1(t) \neq 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a_1(t)| = \infty$ . A simple calculation using (10) yields

$$z \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \Big/ \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = \gamma + (\beta - 1) \frac{tzh'(z)}{h(z)} + t \left[ 1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h(z)} \right].$$

Since  $\beta > 1$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $h$  is convex, we deduce that (4) is satisfied and, hence,  $L(z, t)$  is a subordination chain. In particular, we have

$$h(z) = L(z, 0) < L(z, t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

We return now to the proof of (9). Suppose  $F(z) \not< h(z)$ . Then by Lemma 1 there exists points  $z_0 \in U$  and  $\zeta_0 \in \partial U$  such that  $F(z_0) = h(\zeta_0)$  and  $z_0 F'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 h'(\zeta_0)$  with  $m \geq 1$ . Using this in (10), from (11) we obtain

$$F(z_0) \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \frac{z_0 F'(z_0)}{F(z_0)} \right]^{1/\beta} = L(\zeta_0, m) \notin h(U),$$

which contradicts the first part of (9). Hence  $F(z) < h(z)$  and so  $I_{\beta, \gamma}$  is an averaging operator on the set  $\mathbf{E}_{\beta, \gamma}$ .

Note that if  $f$  is also convex, then the conclusion of Theorem 1 becomes  $I_{\beta, \gamma}[f] < f$ .

If we let  $f(z) = zg(z)$ ,  $g(z) \neq 0$ , then the integral in (7) can be rewritten as

$$z \left[ \gamma \int_0^1 g^\beta(tz) t^{\beta+\gamma-1} dt \right]^{1/\beta}. \quad (12)$$

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $f(z) = z/(1-z)$  and  $g(z) = 1/(1-z)$ . Then  $f(z)$  is convex and from Theorem 1 and (12) we obtain

$$z \left[ \gamma \int_0^1 (1-tz)^{-\beta} t^{\beta+\gamma-1} dt \right]^{1/\beta} < z/(1-z).$$

This last integral can be written in terms of the hypergeometric function

$${}_2F_1(a, b, c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) \cdot \Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-tz)^{-a} dt.$$

By taking  $a = \beta$ ,  $b = \beta + \gamma$ , and  $c = \beta + \gamma + 1$ , we obtain  $\Gamma(c)/[\Gamma(b) \cdot \Gamma(c-b)] = \beta + \gamma$  and deduce that

$$F(z) = z \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma} {}_2F_1(\beta, \beta + \gamma, \beta + \gamma + 1; z) \right]^{1/\beta} < \frac{z}{1-z}$$

for  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$ . This also implies that  $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > -\frac{1}{2}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. S. S. MILLER AND P. T. MOCANU, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **28** (1981), 157–171.
2. S. S. MILLER AND P. T. MOCANU, Averaging operators and a generalized Robinson differential inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **173** (1993), 459–469.
3. P. T. MOCANU, Some integral operators and starlike functions, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **31** (1986), 231–235.
4. CH. POMMERENKE, “Univalent Functions,” Vanderhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.